



Smart Tools, Smarter Teaching: Human-Centered Writing in an AI World

Meena Singhal

Long Beach City College

As artificial intelligence (AI) continues to permeate educational spaces, its influence on the college writing classroom is both transformative and deeply debated. While some educators fear AI tools like ChatGPT or Grammarly may promote academic dishonesty, erode writing skills, or lead to professional obsolescence, others see them as valuable resources for support, access, and inspiration. Caught between these extremes is the classroom teacher tasked with navigating what AI means for literacy, authorship, and student learning.

Rather than imagining AI as a replacement for traditional teaching, we should ask how it can be meaningfully integrated to support the core values of literacy instruction. While AI cannot replicate the nuanced, relational work of great teaching, it can function as a powerful pedagogical ally, especially when its use is deliberate, student-centered, and reflective. Instructors can build meaningful engagement around AI in four key areas: opening dialogue with students, encouraging partial rather than full reliance, reimagining creativity, and guiding ethical reflection.

Listening First: Engaging Students in Conversations About AI

Effective integration of AI into writing instruction begins with listening. Instead of imposing rigid restrictions, educators can invite students into conversations about their real-world uses of AI—such as what tools they use, how they apply them, and what challenges they encounter. These insights can inform policy and pedagogy in ways that are both practical and inclusive.

A few months ago, I asked students in a first-year writing class to share how they were already using AI. One student admitted, “I used it to write a whole draft, but I didn’t understand what it was saying.” Another said, “I just wanted it to fix my grammar because I don’t trust myself.” These honest, unfiltered responses reminded me that students are not trying to cheat; they are trying to cope, navigate, and often write under pressure. That conversation shifted the way I framed my instruction, which was not to police AI use, but to create a classroom where students could talk about it critically and safely.

To build on these conversations, one promising model involves establishing a Student AI Advisory Board, composed of diverse learners who meet with faculty to share experiences and help co-create classroom norms around AI. This participatory approach recognizes students as active users and emerging experts, not just digital consumers. Research by Karran et al. (2024) emphasizes the importance of involving students, alongside teachers and parents, in the development of AI policies to ensure ethical and effective integration of AI technologies in education. Their study highlights that such multi-stakeholder collaboration can shape writing assignments, inform faculty training, and illuminate areas where clearer instruction is needed.

Educators who engage students in this way create not only responsive classrooms, but also spaces where AI is explored critically and collaboratively. For instance, instructors can begin the term with a reflective journal or short survey in which students explain if and how they have used AI in the past. This activity surfaces key trends and encourages transparency from the outset. In follow-up group discussions, students compare their experiences, raising awareness about accessibility, misconceptions, and creative uses of AI.

Another collaborative approach involves assigning students to draft a classroom AI policy together, working in small groups. Each group might design a one-page visual poster summarizing guidelines for ethical and appropriate AI use in the course, considering what would help, not harm their writing development. This collaborative practice empowers students to take ownership of shared norms and provides a foundation for future discussions.

Teach the Parts, Not the Whole: Guiding Students to Use AI Selectively

Rather than banning AI tools outright or allowing them to dominate student writing, educators can teach students to use AI for specific stages of the writing process. When approached thoughtfully, AI can assist with brainstorming, outlining, summarizing, or refining word choice without replacing the student's voice or thinking. This approach preserves student ownership of their ideas. Barrot (2024) emphasizes that AI can strengthen writing instruction when it is used to scaffold critical thinking and revision, rather than shortcutting the entire writing process. His research shows that students who reflect on how they use AI as part of a metacognitive strategy develop stronger awareness of rhetorical purpose and authorial intention.

Assignments can incorporate this reflective practice by asking students to explain what role AI played in their drafts, which suggestions they used or ignored, and how the tool shaped their revision choices. These reflective components reinforce that writing is not a one-step product but a process in which the student, not the AI, must remain central. For example, students might generate a thesis using ChatGPT, then annotate it, noting whether they revised the language, changed the position, or discarded it entirely. This allows for meaningful engagement with the tool without letting it replace original thought. Another practical activity is a revision workshop in which students submit three versions of a paragraph: an original draft, a version edited with AI, and a final student-revised draft. They then write a reflection comparing the versions, discussing how AI shaped their thinking or helped identify weak areas. These kinds of scaffolded, partial uses of AI support skill development rather than short-circuiting it and also more effectively connect classroom discussions and concepts to the task.

Grammarly or other similar AI tools can also be introduced during peer review. After running their writing through these tools, students critique the suggested edits in small groups, making decisions about which suggestions are useful or inaccurate. This encourages editing autonomy while demystifying AI-generated feedback.

Reimagining Creativity: From Prompting to Play

AI can also open new creative avenues for student writers, particularly when its role is framed as exploratory rather than authoritative. Rather than merely correcting grammar or generating standard paragraphs, AI can serve as a tool for voice development, genre experimentation, and rhetorical play. For instance, instructors might invite students to enter AI-based creativity contests, where they use generative tools to co-write a poem in the style of a literary icon, craft speculative

fiction scenes, or remix classic fables with contemporary settings. After producing their AI draft, students analyze how their prompts influenced the output and revise the text to reflect their own voice and intent. Similarly, prompt design labs can be used to explore how input phrasing affects output. In these workshops, students experiment with different ways of asking the same question and observe how changes in tone, clarity, or specificity yield different results.

Another effective practice is reverse-engineering exercises. In these, students receive a piece of AI-generated text and are asked to identify the probable prompt, critique the writing's strengths and limitations, and revise it for improved structure or coherence. Woo et al. (2024) observe that multilingual learners benefit from guided interaction with AI writing tools, particularly when such tools are integrated into reflective classroom activities. By examining how AI-generated suggestions align or conflict with their linguistic intentions, students can develop greater confidence in English phrasing while maintaining control over their voice. For example, instructors might implement a "Voice Lab" where students critique and rewrite AI-generated content, translating suggestions into culturally relevant language or challenging assumptions in the AI's tone. Such practices support both language development and critical authorship, while affirming linguistic diversity in the writing process.

Ethics, Ownership, and the Writer's Role

Integrating AI into writing instruction also requires addressing the ethical, legal, and philosophical implications of machine-assisted authorship. Questions of voice, ownership, and originality are now central to writing pedagogy. Who owns an essay that is co-written with an AI? How do we distinguish between influence and authorship? What are the long-term implications of widespread AI use in creative and academic work?

These concerns are tangible and increasingly relevant. As AI becomes more embedded in the writing process, students need guidance in rethinking what it means to be an author. Writing is no longer just a solitary act; it now involves negotiating the influence of machine-generated input. To foster deeper understanding, instructors can engage students in activities like classroom debates, real-world case studies, and reflective writing tasks that explore how AI intersects with authorship, creativity, and ownership in fields.

One approach is to present a scenario in which a student uses AI to help with an essay, and the class debates whether the final product is truly theirs. This activity prompts discussion on transparency, plagiarism, and authorship. Another is to assign a research portfolio where students collect real-world examples of AI use in journalism, marketing, or entertainment and reflect on the ethical and social implications of each. Students can also engage in structured classroom debates, such as "Should AI-generated writing be cited in academic papers?" where they must prepare arguments using current scholarship and present opposing viewpoints. Discussions might also include concerns about algorithmic bias, accessibility, and environmental impact, reminding students that these tools are not neutral.

Looking Forward: Teaching in Tandem with Technology

AI is not a passing trend; it is a structural shift in how students write, revise, and interact with information. I see this every day in my own classroom, where students arrive with new digital habits, new anxieties, and new creative possibilities shaped by emerging tools. Although AI may alter how writing is produced, it cannot replace the interpersonal, reflective, and intellectually

generative work of great teaching. No technology can substitute for the human connection that builds trust, fosters critical thinking, and helps students find their authentic voices.

The most important thing we can do is help students use these tools with awareness. AI cannot and should not write for them. It can, however, help them think, revise, and discover ideas in new ways. Our responsibility is not to eliminate AI from the classroom, but to teach students how to engage with it thoughtfully, strategically, and ethically. If writing classrooms are to remain spaces of inquiry and voice, then AI must be taught not as a shortcut but as a challenge. It is an opportunity to deepen our understanding of how we write, why we write, and who we are as authors in the digital age.

References

- Barrot, J. S. (2024). Leveraging ChatGPT in the writing classrooms: Theoretical and practical insights. *Language Teaching Research Quarterly*, 43, 43–53
- Karran, A. J., Charland, P., Martineau, J.-T., Ortiz de Guinea Lopez de Arana, A., Lesage, A. M., Senecal, S., & Leger, P.-M. (2024). Multi-stakeholder perspective on responsible artificial intelligence and acceptability in education. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2402.15027>
- Woo, D. J., Susanto, H., Yeung, C. H., Guo, K., & Fung, A. K. Y. (2024). Exploring AI-generated text in student writing: How does AI help? *Language Learning & Technology*, 28(2), 183–209.

Meena Singhal, Ph.D., is a Professor of ESL at Long Beach City College and the Founding Editor of The Reading Matrix: An International Online Journal. She has taught ESL and applied linguistics across adult education, community colleges, and university settings, including the University of California, Irvine. Her work focuses on equity in education, culturally responsive teaching, and the integration of AI in English language teaching. Dr. Singhal is also the founder of Upvation Productions and the executive producer of Boundless Borders, a documentary highlighting immigrant resilience and the pursuit of higher education.

Email: msinghal@lbcc.edu